HEMP BY-PRODUCT VALORIZATION











Simone Pedrazzi, Nicolò Morselli, Marco Puglia, Giulia Santunione, Massimiliano Parenti, Filippo Ottani BEELab (Bio Energy Efficiency Laboratory), Department of Engineering "Enzo Ferrari" University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Vivarelli 10/1 – 41125 Modena, Italy. Website: www.beelab.unimore.it; phone: +3905920565229; mail: simone.pedrazzi@unimore.it

INTRODUCTION

The hemp industry had a big increase in recent years. Multiple reasons and interests created this boom: agricultural diversification; new flourishing markets like food, drinks, oils containing CBD extract; new insulation material for building derived from biomass feedstock with low environmental impact, the extraction of THC and other cannabinoids for pharmaceutical purposes etc. The cultivation of hemp in Italy is having a second golden age, the first started in the late Middle Ages and it ended between WWI and WWII and it was mainly focused on hemp fiber production. Hemp fiber produced in the Emilia Romagna Region, Northern Italy, had an incredible quality recognized all over the world.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2: Valorization study for by-products from hemp fiber industry

| HEMP FIBER MARKET | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| By products type | | Hurd | | | |
| | | Prons | Cons | | |
| By-product use | Construction materials | Existing market | Low earningsbig volumes required | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Combustion | No fuel pre-processingMature technologyLow emissions | Carbon neutral process Ashes difficult to dispose or re-use | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Gasification | Negative carbon process High quality char Ultra low emissions Syngas upgrading simple and economics | Fuel pre-processing (i.e. pellettization) Maintenance cost Technology not at commercial stage | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Pyrolysis | No fuel pre-processingHigh emissions | Carbon positive process Low quality char Bio-oil processing complex and expensive | | |
| By products type | | Flowers, leaves, seeds | | | |
| | | Prons | Cons | | |
| By-product use | Left in the field | Nutrients to the groundEasy and economic | Fermentantion with CH₄ emissions No revenue | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Anaerobic digestion | Mature technology Economical efficient Low maintenance | High cost of investment Big volumes required Need of other biomasses to balance the digestor recipe Digestate difficult to re-use in the field | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Aerobic composting | Easy process Mix with other residual biomasses accepted | Low revenues Big area required Slow process, however char from gasification and pyrolysis can be used to faster the reaction (COMBI) | | |

Today, agriculture techniques are completely changed from the last century. Also hemp industry followed the recent mechanization in agriculture, but further improvements should be applied to have a sustainable growing from economical and environmental point of views. First of all, depending of the final purposes of the hemp cultivation, several hemp by-products are not well recycled in the farm in a proper way. For example, considering hemp for seeds or CBD extraction, the 90-95% of the plants is not used and left in the field or burned in wildfires. The productivity of the plant is awesome, literature reports an annual productivity in cold climate conditions of about 10 ton per hectare of dry matter and only 5-10% is used for the final products. In many cases this biomass residues can be reused follow the circular economy in the farm.

This paper investigates possible circular economy pathways which can be applied in different hemp agriculture regimes using hemp by-products. Not only open field hemp cultivation but also the case of hemp growing in indoor greenhouses is presented. Several valorization technologies has been applied to achieve economic and environmental advantages for the farmer: composting of green and wet residues, combustion and gasification of hemp stalks and hurds, co-composting of hemp green residues (leafs and branches) and biochar obtained from hemp ligneous residues (stalk and hurd). Every pathways is discussed from the practical point of view and also considering the entire framework including all the stakeholder involved in the process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table 1: Italian hemp markets

| Market | Customers sector | Market dimensions | Growing mode | By-product types | By-products use |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| Hemp fiber | Textile | Big | Outdoor | Hurd, flowers, leaves | Hurd for construction materials, the others are left in the field |
| Hemp seeds | Food | Small | Outdoor | Stalks, flowers, leaves | Stalks are left in the field, leaves and flowers are disposed as green waste |
| Hemp flowers for CBD or THC extraction | Pharmaceutical | Medium | Indoor | Stalks, leaves | Disposal as green waste |

Table 3: Valorization study for by-products from hemp seed industry

| | HEMP SEEDS MARKET | | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | By products type | | Stalks | | | |
| | | | Prons | Cons | | |
| | By-product use | Left in the field | Nutrients to the groundEasy and economic | Fermantantion with CH₄ emissions No revenue | | |
| Ву-р | Alternative by-product use | Combustion | Easy fuel pre-processingMature technologyLow emissions | Carbon neutral process Ashes difficult to dispose or re-use | | |
| product | Alternative by-product use | Gasification | Negative carbon process High quality char Ultra low emissions Syngas upgrading simple and economics | Hard fuel pre-processing (i.e. pellettization) Maintenance cost Technology not at commercial stage | | |
| s valo | Alternative by-product use | Pyrolysis | Easy fuel pre-processingHigh emissions | Carbon positive process Low quality char Bio-oil processing complex and expensive | | |
| | By products type | Flowers, leaves | 5 | | | |
| | | | Prons | Cons | | |
| O n | By-product use | Green waste disposal | Easy process | Cost of disposal | | |
| results | Alternative by-product use | Anaerobic digestion | Mature technology Economical efficient Low maintenance | High cost of investment Big volumes required Need of other biomasses to balance the digestor recipe Digestate difficult to re-use in the field | | |
| | Alternative by-product use | Aerobic composting | Easy process Mix with other residual biomasses accepted | Low revenues Big area required Slow process, however char from gasification and pyrolysis can be used to faster the reaction (COMBI) | | |



Figure 1: hemp cultivation for seed production (left); hemp stalks derived from hemp plants cutting (center); hemp flowers and leaves derived from flower-seed separation (right)



Figure 2: hemp hurd from fiber (left); hemp indoor facility for CBD rich flower (right)



Table 4: Valorization study for by-products from hemp industry for flower for CBD and THC

| HEMP FLOWERS MARKET | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| By products type | | Stalks | | | |
| | | Prons | Cons | | |
| By-product use | Green waste disposal | Easy process | Cost of disposal (special waste) | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Combustion | Easy fuel pre-processingMature technologyLow emissions | Carbon neutral process Ashes difficult to dispose or re-use | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Gasification | Negative carbon process High quality char Ultra low emissions Syngas upgrading simple and economics | Hard fuel pre-processing (i.e. pellettization) Maintenance cost Technology not at commercial stage | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Pyrolysis | Easy fuel pre-processingHigh emissions | Carbon positive process Low quality char Bio-oil processing complex and expensive | | |
| By products type | Leaves | | | | |
| | | Prons | | | |
| By-product use | Green waste disposal | Easy process | Cost of disposal (special waste) | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Anaerobic digestion | Mature technology Economical efficient Low maintenance | High cost of investment Big volumes required Need of other biomasses to balance the digestor recipe Digestate difficult to re-use in the field | | |
| Alternative by-product use | Aerobic composting | Easy process Mix with other residual biomasses accepted | Low revenues Big area required Slow process, however char from gasification and pyrolysis can be used to faster the reaction (COMBI) | | |

